OBJECTIONS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS

| Scheme: | BRACKNELL FOREST BOROUGH COUNCIL WAITING PROHIBITIONS ORDER 2014 | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | PEMBROKE WAITING PROHIBITION | | | |
| Date Advertised: | 13 March 2014 | No. of Objections Received: | 1 objection received. | |

| Objector | Summary of Objection | Officers and Councillors Comments | Decision Abandon/ Modify/ Proceed as advertised. |
|----------|--|--|--|
| | I am just one of the residents in Pembroke with some concerns over the planned parking restrictions; because of the reduction in parking for Pembroke it would be detrimental to the current lack of parking to unnecessarily make the situation worse. Parking restriction on the path side of the street would be better with a time restriction, rather than no waiting at any time imposed. School hours are from 9.00 to 15.30, it would be far more resident friendly to restrict parking during school hours. Parking restrictions Mon to Fri between the hours of 8.00 to 17.00 would be better received by residents. It would not be reasonable to restrict the parking when the school is not in use. Tension between residents and the school traffic is already high; imposing further restrictions for parking would cause unnecessary agitation with residents. I should also point out that your notice is factually incorrect, you quote 3 metres from boundary of 51 Pembroke, and this is incorrect, please correct the notice. | A single yellow line with a time restriction gives the impression it's OK for residents to park there at any other time. Parking should be restricted at all times in this location as it causes congestion and leads to parking on the footway and across the front of adjacent parking bays. Additional parking bays have been constructed recently to take into account the proposed parking restrictions in this area near to the school entrance. Agreed the notice is incorrect. It should read boundary of 53, not 51. However, the description on the notice also mentioned opposite 46 and 47 which is correct. | Proceed as advertised |

Your response to the concerns and issues raised in this letter would be welcome. Please consider that this is the street where we live and not always a route to the school. A copy of this letter will be delivered to the school and Philip Lee MP.

This proposal will help to prevent obstruction near the parking bays, and T-junction where a high volume of pedestrian cross the road.

Thames Valley Police Traffic Management - no objection to the waiting restriction proposal.

Cllr Baily, Cllr Mrs Birch and Cllr Davison - supportive of the scheme.

Bracknell Town Council - no objection to the scheme.

Local Member comments on consultation responses:

Cllr Mrs Birch - happy to go ahead as advertised.

Cllr Davison - no further comments to add.

Cllr Baily- no comment.

Officer comments and recommendations:

As there is only one objection and members are generally supportive, officers recommend proceeding as advertised